

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/848,770	LOOS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Derek Rutten	2192	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowable

 (1) J. Derek Rutten.

(3) _____.

 (2) Adam D. Sheehan, Reg. No. 42,146.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 September 2007
Time: 11 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

27 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Rutten called Mr. Sheehan to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Several potential 112 issues were addressed in the independent claims. Also, amendments to the independent claims to incorporate limitations describing the mobile data model were discussed. Mr. Sheehan agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to correct the 112 issues and to incorporate features of a mobile data model into each independent claim.